

FISH & RICHARDSON P.C.

RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

MAY 16 2006

225 Franklin Street
Boston, Massachusetts
02110-2804Telephone
617 542-5070Facsimile
617 542-8906Web Site
www.fr.com

Date May 16, 2006

To Examiner Elizabeth Cole
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Telephone: 571-272-1475

Facsimile number 14157-01200001 / 571-273-8300

From Y. Rocky Tsao, Ph.D., J.D.

Re POLYMER COMPOSITE MOLDED BODY AND METHOD FOR PRODUCING
THE SAME

| | |
|------------------|--------------------|
| Applicant: | Toru Kimura et al. |
| Application No.: | 10/664,988 |
| Filing Date: | September 17, 2003 |
| Country: | United States |
| Our Ref.: | 14157-012001 |

Number of pages
including this page 2

Message

Urgent !!!

NOTE: This facsimile is intended for the addressee only and may contain privileged or confidential information. If you have received this facsimile in error, please immediately call us collect at 617 542-5070 to arrange for its return. Thank you.

FISH & RICHARDSON P.C.

Frederick P. Fish
1855-1930

W.K. Richardson
1859-1951

RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

MAY 16 2006

225 Franklin Street
Boston, Massachusetts
02110-2804

Telephone
617 542-5070

Facsimile
617 542-8906

Web Site
www.fr.com

May 16, 2006

Examiner Elizabeth Cole
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Re: POLYMER COMPOSITE MOLDED BODY AND METHOD ...

Applicant: Toru Kimura et al.
U.S. Application No.: 10/664,988
Filing Date: September 17, 2003
Our Ref.: 14157-012001



ATLANTA
AUSTIN
BOSTON
DALLAS
DELAWARE
NEW YORK
SAN DIEGO
SILICON VALLEY
TWIN CITIES
WASHINGTON, DC

Dear Examiner Cole:

Thank you for granting a telephone interview, scheduled for May 17, 2006 at 1 pm, to discuss issues raised in the advisory action dated May 5, 2006. This letter outlines what we would like to discuss with you during the telephone interview.

In the advisory action, you stated that the phrase "crossing with a plane of the fiber cloth" recited in claim 1 was not previously searched or considered. This phrase actually has the same meaning as "crossing with the fiber cloth" recited in the originally filed claim 1. The fiber cloth, unless specified otherwise, is of course planar. In any event, one skilled in the art would clearly recognize that it is planar in view of the specification. More specifically, claim 1 recites that the fiber cloth is oriented along the surface of a molded body, which, as shown in Figures 1A and 1B, is planar. As such, the fiber cloth itself is also planar. It follows that crossing with the fiber cloth amounts to crossing with the plane of the fiber cloth. As "crossing with the fiber cloth" has been searched and considered, so has "crossing with a plane with the fiber cloth." We would like to point out that we amended the original phrase for the sole purpose of making the claim language more clear.

In addition, we would like to also discuss patentability of the pending claims over the prior art references cited in the final office action.

We thank you for your attention to this matter and look forward to talking with you soon.

Very truly yours,

Y. Rocky Tsao
Y. Rocky Tsao